

Training Building IT Technology Solutions 3FY20

Vendor name: AVI-SPI

Question 1. Receipt of requested information	Score 0	Score 1	Score 2	Comments	10 Total
Introduction Letter		1			
Permits/License Proof		1			
Required Insurance Proof		1			
Quote Form Completion			2		
Delivery Specifications			2		7
Question 2. Cost	Low (1-10)	Med (11-20)	High (21-30)	Comments	30 Total
Comparison of submission to market price; consider how it compares to similar purchases made in the past			23		23
Question 3. Vendor Qualifications	Low (1-6)	Med (7-13)	High (14-20)	Comments	20 Total
Consider years of experience in the field, similar projects completed, history of working with government construction projects/contracts			20		20
Question 4. Overall Quality of Proposed Construction	Low (1-13)	Med (14-27)	High (28-40)	Comments	40 Total
Also consider construction timeline/schedule/delivery dates.			30		30
(100 possible points) TOTAL POINTS					80

Note: 0=None 1=Partial 2=All

Evaluator: Brian Alvero

Title: Director of IT

Signature: Brian Alvero
Digitally signed by Brian Alvero
 Date: 2020.07.08 16:12:56
 -04'00'

Date: 7/08/2020

Training Building IT Technology Solutions 3FY20

Vendor name: AVI-SPL

Question 1. Receipt of requested information	Score 0	Score 1	Score 2	Comments	10 Total
Introduction Letter			2		
Permits/License Proof			2		
Required Insurance Proof			2		
Quote Form Completion			2		
Delivery Specifications			2		
Question 2. Cost	Low (1-10)	Med (11-20)	High (21-30)	Comments	30 Total
Comparison of submission to market price; consider how it compares to similar purchases made in the past		20			
Question 3. Vendor Qualifications	Low (1-6)	Med (7-13)	High (14-20)	Comments	20 Total
Consider years of experience in the field, similar projects completed, history of working with government construction projects/contracts			18		
Question 4. Overall Quality of Proposed Construction	Low (1-13)	Med (14-27)	High (28-40)	Comments	40 Total
Also consider construction timeline/schedule/delivery dates.			30		
(100 possible points)					78
TOTAL POINTS					

Note: 0=None 1=Partial 2=All

Evaluator: Cari Fortier

Title: Grants Coordinator

Signature: Cari L Fortier
Digitally signed by Cari L Fortier
 Date: 2020.07.08 16:09:22
 -04'00'

Date: 7/8/20

Training Building IT Technology Solutions 3FY20

Vendor name: AVI SPL

Question 1. Receipt of requested information	Score 0	Score 1	Score 2	Comments	10 Total
Introduction Letter			2		
Permits/License Proof			2		
Required Insurance Proof			2		
Quote Form Completion			2		
Delivery Specifications			2		10
Question 2. Cost	Low (1-10)	Med (11-20)	High (21-30)	Comments	30 Total
Comparison of submission to market price; consider how it compares to similar purchases made in the past			26		26
Question 3. Vendor Qualifications	Low (1-6)	Med (7-13)	High (14-20)	Comments	20 Total
Consider years of experience in the field, similar projects completed, history of working with government construction projects/contracts			15		15
Question 4. Overall Quality of Proposed Construction	Low (1-13)	Med (14-27)	High (28-40)	Comments	40 Total
Also consider construction timeline/schedule/delivery dates.			35		35
(100 possible points) TOTAL POINTS					86

Note: 0=None 1=Partial 2=All

Evaluator: Rogerick Green


Title: FIRST MANAGER

Signature: *Rogerick Green*

Date: 7/8/2020

Training Building IT Technology Solutions 3FY20

Vendor name: AVI-SPL

Question 1. Receipt of requested information	Score 0	Score 1	Score 2	Comments	10 Total
Introduction Letter			X		2
Permits/License Proof			X		2
Required Insurance Proof			X		2
Quote Form Completion			X		2
Delivery Specifications			X		2
Question 2. Cost	Low (1-10)	Med (11-20)	High (21-30)	Comments	30 Total
Comparison of submission to market price; consider how it compares to similar purchases made in the past	10			Pricing is in line with market price; however high-end part numbers are used putting this : 	10
Question 3. Vendor Qualifications	Low (1-6)	Med (7-13)	High (14-20)	Comments	20 Total
Consider years of experience in the field, similar projects completed, history of working with government construction projects/contracts			20	Leader in the industry; extensive work history;	20
Question 4. Overall Quality of Proposed Construction	Low (1-13)	Med (14-27)	High (28-40)	Comments	40 Total
Also consider construction timeline/schedule/delivery dates.			35	Warranty; quality design, proposal was very well put together; turn key everything included; lecterns included. state-of-the art system	35
(100 possible points) TOTAL POINTS					75

Note: 0=None 1=Partial 2=All

Evaluator: Justin Ross

Title: Captain

Signature: 
Digitally signed by Justin Ross
 Date: 2020.07.08 16:10:52
 -04'00'

Date: 7/8/2020

Training Building IT Technology Solutions 3FY20

Vendor name: MCS

Question 1. Receipt of requested information	Score 0	Score 1	Score 2	Comments	10 Total
Introduction Letter		1			
Permits/License Proof		1			
Required Insurance Proof	0				
Quote Form Completion			2		
Delivery Specifications			2		
Question 2. Cost	Low (1-10)	Med (11-20)	High (21-30)	Comments	30 Total
Comparison of submission to market price; consider how it compares to similar purchases made in the past			21		
Question 3. Vendor Qualifications	Low (1-6)	Med (7-13)	High (14-20)	Comments	20 Total
Consider years of experience in the field, similar projects completed, history of working with government construction projects/contracts			14		
Question 4. Overall Quality of Proposed Construction	Low (1-13)	Med (14-27)	High (28-40)	Comments	40 Total
Also consider construction timeline/schedule/delivery dates.		20			
(100 possible points) TOTAL POINTS					61

Note: 0=None 1=Partial 2=All

Evaluator: Cari Fortier

Title: Grants Coordinator

Signature: Cari L Fortier
Digitally signed by Cari L Fortier
 Date: 2020.07.08 16:08:55
 -04'00'

Date: 7/8/20

Training Building IT Technology Solutions 3FY20

Vendor name: MCS of Tampa

Question 1. Receipt of requested information	Score 0	Score 1	Score 2	Comments	10 Total
Introduction Letter			2		
Permits/License Proof	0				
Required Insurance Proof	0				
Quote Form Completion			2		
Delivery Specifications			2		6
Question 2. Cost	Low (1-10)	Med (11-20)	High (21-30)	Comments	30 Total
Comparison of submission to market price; consider how it compares to similar purchases made in the past			25	\$127,555	25
Question 3. Vendor Qualifications	Low (1-6)	Med (7-13)	High (14-20)	Comments	20 Total
Consider years of experience in the field, similar projects completed, history of working with government construction projects/contracts			16		18
Question 4. Overall Quality of Proposed Construction	Low (1-13)	Med (14-27)	High (28-40)	Comments	40 Total
Also consider construction timeline/schedule/delivery dates.			26		26
(100 possible points) TOTAL POINTS					75

Note: 0=None 1=Partial 2=All

Evaluator: Rogerick Green

Title: FIRST Manager

Signature: *Rogerick Green*

Date: 7/6/2020

Training Building IT Technology Solutions 3FY20

Vendor name: MCS

Question 1. Receipt of requested information	Score 0	Score 1	Score 2	Comments	10 Total
Introduction Letter			X		2
Permits/License Proof		X		Listed in proposal	1
Required Insurance Proof	X				0
Quote Form Completion			X		2
Delivery Specifications			X		2
Question 2. Cost	Low (1-10)	Med (11-20)	High (21-30)	Comments	30 Total
Comparison of submission to market price; consider how it compares to similar purchases made in the past			25	<small>Low cost: still major brands used. Did not call out part numbers for comparison though</small>	25
Question 3. Vendor Qualifications	Low (1-6)	Med (7-13)	High (14-20)	Comments	20 Total
Consider years of experience in the field, similar projects completed, history of working with government construction projects/contracts			15	Prior work in Pasco EOC, MacDill; other government projects	15
Question 4. Overall Quality of Proposed Construction	Low (1-13)	Med (14-27)	High (28-40)	Comments	40 Total
Also consider construction timeline/schedule/delivery dates.		20		Other than stating it would meet our specifications, did not provide a scope of project and outline specifically how our requirements would be met	20
(100 possible points) TOTAL POINTS					67

Note: 0=None 1=Partial 2=All

Evaluator: Justin Ross

Title: Captain

Signature: 
Digitally signed by Justin Ross
 Date: 2020.07.08 16:10:35
 -04'00'

Date: 7/8/2020

Training Building IT Technology Solutions 3FY20

Vendor name: MSC

Question 1. Receipt of requested information	Score 0	Score 1	Score 2	Comments	10 Total
Introduction Letter	0				
Permits/License Proof	0				
Required Insurance Proof	0				
Quote Form Completion		1			
Delivery Specifications		1			2
Question 2. Cost	Low (1-10)	Med (11-20)	High (21-30)	Comments	30 Total
Comparison of submission to market price; consider how it compares to similar purchases made in the past		11			11
Question 3. Vendor Qualifications	Low (1-6)	Med (7-13)	High (14-20)	Comments	20 Total
Consider years of experience in the field, similar projects completed, history of working with government construction projects/contracts		13			13
Question 4. Overall Quality of Proposed Construction	Low (1-13)	Med (14-27)	High (28-40)	Comments	40 Total
Also consider construction timeline/schedule/delivery dates.		25			25
(100 possible points) TOTAL POINTS					51

Note: 0=None 1=Partial 2=All

Evaluator: Brian Alvero

Title: Director of IT

Signature: Brian Alvero
Digitally signed by Brian Alvero
 Date: 2020.07.08 16:12:56
 -04'00'

Date: 7/08/2020